ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No - <u>CCP 54 OF 2017</u>

Tridip Kumar Jha & Others <u>Vs</u> Mr. Kousik Chatterjee, the Secretary, Irrigation & Waterways Deptt., Govt. of W.B.

1 09 06.07.2018 For the Applicant : Mr. S. Ghosh, Learned Advocate. For the Respondents : Mr. D. Kole, Learned Advocate. Compliance Report submitted on behalf of the contemnor today is kept on record. It appears from the said compliance report that the contemnors have not yet complied with the direction given by the Tribunal on December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt application. The reasoned order must be placed by the	with date gnature ien necessa
Compliance Report submitted on behalf of the contemnor today is kept on record. It appears from the said compliance report that the contemnors have not yet complied with the direction given by the Tribunal on December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	3
contemnor today is kept on record. It appears from the said compliance report that the contemnors have not yet complied with the direction given by the Tribunal on December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
contemnor today is kept on record. It appears from the said compliance report that the contemnors have not yet complied with the direction given by the Tribunal on December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
said compliance report that the contemnors have not yet complied with the direction given by the Tribunal on December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
yet complied with the direction given by the Tribunal on December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
December 9, 2016 in connection with the MA-193 of 2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
2016 arising out of OA-825 of 2016 by passing a reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
reasoned order. The direction was given by the Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
Tribunal to the contemnors, particularly the Executive Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
Engineer, Teesta Canal Division – I, Islampur, Uttar Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
Dinajpur to consider the representation of the applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
applicants dated November 23, 2016 in terms of memo of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
of the Finance Department dated February 25, 2016 in accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
accordance with the rules within a period of 6 (six) months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
months from the date of communication of the order by passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
passing a reasoned order and to convey the copy of the said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
said order to the applicants within a period of 2 (two) weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
weeks thereafter. The reasoned order is not forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
forthcoming before the Tribunal after lapse of almost one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
one year from the date of filing of the contempt	
application. The reasoned order must be placed by the	
contemnor no. 5 before the Tribunal before the next	
date of hearing.	

ORDER SHEET

Tridip Kumar Jha & Others

••••

Form No.

Case No. CCP 54 OF 2017

Vs.

Mr. Kousik Chatterjee, the Secretary, Irrigation & Waterways Deptt., Govt. of W.B.

.....

	On prayer of Learned Couns			
	contemnor, accommodation of one w			
	List the matter under the heading "Hearing" on 21.08.2018.			
	Let a plain copy of this order parties.	be supplied to both		
	(S.K. DAS) MEMBER(A)	(R. K. BAG) MEMBER (J)		
Sanjib				